

RECONSTRUCTING CURVES FROM LENGTHS OF PROJECTIONS ONTO LINES

JAMES VARGO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we address the problem of reconstructing a curve from the lengths of its projections onto lines. We first note that the curve itself is not uniquely determined from these measurements. However, we find that a curve determines a measure on projective space which, as a function on Borel subsets of projective space, returns the length of curve parallel to elements of the set. We show that the projected length data can be expressed as the cosine transform of this measure on projective space. The cosine transform is a well studied integral transform on the sphere which is known to be injective. We conclude that the measured length data uniquely determines the associated measure on projective space. We then characterize the class of curves that produce a common measure by starting with the case of piecewise linear curves and then passing to limits to obtain results for more general curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The problem. Let $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be an absolutely continuous curve, and let $\xi \in S^{d-1}$ be a unit vector. For any line parallel to ξ , the length of the projection of α onto the line is given by the integral:

$$(1) \quad M_\alpha(\xi) = \int_0^1 |\xi \cdot \alpha'(t)| dt.$$

In this paper, we shall investigate what properties of α can be reconstructed from the data $M_\alpha : S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

First, we note that the measurements can at best reconstruct properties of the velocity curve $\alpha'(t)$. Indeed, M_α remains unchanged if the curve is translated in \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, letting $\beta = \alpha'$, we could rephrase the problem to reconstruct L^1 curves β from the integrals $M_\beta(u) = \int_a^b |u \cdot \beta(t)| dt$. In light of the tomographic motivation presented in the next section, we prefer to consider the problem in terms of curves. A variation of this problem not considered in this paper (but perhaps more fruitful for tomography) would be to add a weight function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the measured data in the form

$$(2) \quad M_\alpha(\xi) = \int_0^1 f(\alpha(t)) |\xi \cdot \alpha'(t)| dt.$$

1.2. Motivation from tomography. Consider a medium with unknown optical properties. We set up an apparatus that does two things. First, it imposes a uniform magnetic field over the medium. Second, it sends photons out from a point A. These photons pass through the medium, possibly scattering (bouncing around) many times and possibly tracing curved paths due to a (possibly) non-constant index of refraction. Some of the photons reach point B and their respective net

change in polarity is measured. The change in polarity of a given photon depends on two factors: the magnetic field and the path taken from point A to point B. The magnetic field is known because it is imposed by the experimenter. Therefore, the measured change in polarity carries information about the path taken. Therefore, it must carry information about the optical properties of the medium itself. By some averaging process, the optical properties of the medium could perhaps be deduced from measurements of many photons.

If the magnetic field is given by ξ , then the measured data, the net change in polarity of the photon, is given by formula (1). If the magnetic field has fixed direction but variable magnitude, then the measured data takes the form (2). So the problem addressed in this paper seeks to address a part of this tomography problem. However, we acknowledge that measuring $M_\alpha(\xi)$ for all ξ is not a direct model of the experiment since it represents an infinite number of measurements taken on a single photon.

The path of a photon in a given medium can be quite chaotic in the sense that it could scatter many times like a diffusive particle. However, its path is necessarily Lipschitz, hence absolutely continuous. In fact, in the context of this problem, there is no essential difference between Lipschitz and absolutely continuous (see Theorem 6).

1.3. The data determines a measure on projective space. We let P^{d-1} denote real projective space of dimension $d-1$, and let $\pi : S^{d-1} \rightarrow P^{d-1}$ denote the projection that identifies antipodal points. Given a function f on projective space, we let $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the degree 1 homogeneous extension of $f \circ \pi$:

$$\hat{f}(r\xi) = |r|(f \circ \pi)(\xi),$$

for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in S^{d-1}$. According to the Riesz Representation theorem, the curve α uniquely determines a measure μ_α on P^{d-1} through the positive linear functional on $C(P^{d-1})$:

$$(3) \quad I_\alpha(f) = \int_0^1 \hat{f}(\alpha'(t)) dt$$

For each $\xi \in S^{d-1}$, we define $f_\xi : P^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_\xi(x) = |\xi \cdot \pi^{-1}(x)|.$$

Then we find that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} M_\alpha(\xi) &= \int_0^1 |\xi \cdot \alpha'(t)| dt \\ &= I_\alpha(f_\xi) \\ &= \int f_\xi d\mu_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Given any finite Borel measure μ on the sphere, the cosine transform of μ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{C}[\mu](\xi) = \int_{S^{d-1}} |\xi \cdot x| \mu(x).$$

The cosine transform has been studied by many authors. In particular it is known that the linear span of the functions f_ξ is uniformly dense in $C(P^{d-1})$, and that

the transform is invertible [1]. For measures with smooth densities, explicit inversion formulas have been calculated using spherical harmonics ([8]). More recently, inversion formulas were found for L^p densities ([7]). In [5, 3, 6, 4] various numerical reconstructions of the measure are derived.

By formula (4), we conclude that M_α is the cosine transform of the measure $\mu_\alpha \circ \pi^{-1}$ on S^{d-1} . By injectivity, the measures $\mu_\alpha \circ \pi^{-1}$ on S^{d-1} and μ_α on P^{d-1} are uniquely determined from M_α . If the measure μ has the form

$$\mu = f d\sigma,$$

where σ is the standard surface measure of the sphere, then ([4]) there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$k^{-1} \|f\|_{H^s} \leq \|\mathcal{C}f\|_{H^{s+\frac{d+2}{2}}} \leq k \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

The Sobolev spaces are defined using the usual norms with respect to the spherical harmonics.

1.4. The correspondence between curves and their associated measures on projective space. We let AC denote the space of absolutely continuous curves $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ modulo translation. We give it topology through the L^1 norm of the velocity function:

$$(5) \quad \|\alpha\|_{AC} = \|\alpha'\|_{L^1[0,1]}.$$

Piecewise linear curves (also referred to as broken lines) are a subclass which are dense in AC and which can be defined as concatenations of linear segments.¹ The decomposition of a broken line into linear segments is not unique since a linear segment can itself be decomposed into smaller subsegments.

Definition 1. Two broken lines are *rearrangements* of one another if they can each be decomposed in such a way that their respective segments are translates of one another.

For example, in a parallelogram $ABCD$, the broken lines ABC , ADC and CBA are all rearrangements of each other. Moreover, we could further decompose the segments AB and BC into subsegments and rearrange them to form yet more rearrangements. It is clear that two broken lines are rearrangements of one another if and only if they produce the same measure on P^{d-1} which is necessarily a discrete measure.

Proposition 2. *The broken line $\alpha = A_0A_1 \dots A_n$ produces the discrete measure with weights $c_k = |A_{k+1} - A_k|$ supported at the points $p_k = \pi \left(\frac{A_{k+1} - A_k}{|A_{k+1} - A_k|} \right)$:*

$$\mu_\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \delta_{p_k}.$$

The following proposition is a technical necessity and is proved in the appendix.

Proposition 3. *For each curve $\alpha \in AC$, there is a reparametrization $\tilde{\alpha}$ which is constant speed and which produces the same measure μ_α .*

¹Density follows from the density of step functions in $L^1[0, 1]$.

The following theorem is proved by constructing a right inverse.

Theorem 4. *The correspondence $\alpha \mapsto \mu_\alpha$ is surjective on the set of positive, finite Borel measures on P^{d-1} .*

2. CONTINUITY RESULTS

We have three spaces, each equipped with a topology:

1. The space of absolutely continuous curves modulo translation with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{AC}$ defined by (5):

$$\|\alpha\|_{AC} = \|\alpha'\|_{L^1[0,1]}.$$

2. The space $\mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$ of finite signed Borel measures on P^{d-1} . We also define $\mathcal{M}^+(P^{d-1}) \subset \mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$ to be the subset of positive, finite Borel measures. We take the standard weak topology in which $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$ if $\int f \mu_k \rightarrow \int f \mu$ for all continuous functions f . On compact spaces, this topology is metrizable through Lipschitz functions. Let d_E denote the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^d , and let d_S denote its restriction to points in the sphere S^{d-1} . We a metric d_P on projective space P^{d-1} by:

$$d_P(x, y) = d_S(\pi^{-1}(x), \pi^{-1}(y)).$$

Through this metric, we define $\mathcal{L}(P^{d-1})$, the space of Lipschitz functions on P^{d-1} , and endow it with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} = \|f\|_{\infty} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d_P(x, y)}.$$

The weak topology on $\mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$ is metrizable through the norm $\|\cdot\|_w$ defined by

$$\|\mu\|_w = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 1} \int f d\mu,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Lipschitz functions with \mathcal{L} norm less than or equal to 1.

3. The space $C(P^{d-1})$ of continuous functions on P^{d-1} with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. We identify $C(P^{d-1})$ with the space of even continuous functions on the sphere, $C_E(S^{d-1})$.

With respect to these norms we have the following continuity results.

Proposition 5. *For all absolutely continuous curves $\alpha, \beta \in AC$, and all finite signed Borel measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$,*

$$(6) \quad \|\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta\|_w \leq \|\alpha - \beta\|_{AC};$$

$$(7) \quad \|M_\mu - M_\nu\|_{\infty} \leq 2\|\mu - \nu\|_w.$$

To prove the first inequality we need the following lemma.

Lemma. *If $f : P^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, then its homogeneous extension $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz with constant $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. Let $f : P^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz with constant $B_1 = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d_P(x, y)}$. Let $\|f\|_\infty = B_2$. We will show that \hat{f} is Lipschitz with constant $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} = B_1 + B_2$.

Let x, y be arbitrary vectors and without loss of generality, assume $|x| \leq |y|$. If $x = 0$, then

$$|\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y)| = |\hat{f}(y)| \leq B_2|y| \leq (B_1 + B_2)|x - y|.$$

Next assume that $|x| = 1$, and $|y| = r \geq 1$. Let $y = rz$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y)| &\leq |\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(z)| + |\hat{f}(z) - \hat{f}(y)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - f(z)| + |f(z) - rf(z)| \\ &\leq B_1|x - z| + |f(z)|(r - 1) \\ &\leq B_1|x - z| + B_2|y - z|. \end{aligned}$$

We note that y lies outside the unit sphere, x is a point on the unit sphere, and z is the closest point on the sphere to y . It follows that $|z - y| \leq |x - y|$, and $|x - z| \leq |x - y|$ (angle xzy is obtuse).

Finally, if $|x| = s \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(x) - \hat{f}(y)| &= s|\hat{f}(x/s) - \hat{f}(y/s)| \\ &\leq (B_1 + B_2)s|x/s - y/s| \\ &= (B_1 + B_2)|x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. Using the lemma, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \hat{f}(\alpha'(t)) - \hat{f}(\beta'(t)) dt \right| &\leq \int_0^1 |\hat{f}(\alpha'(t)) - \hat{f}(\beta'(t))| dt \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \int_0^1 |\alpha'(t) - \beta'(t)| dt \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \|\alpha - \beta\|_{AC} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all Lipschitz f with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 1$, we obtain inequality (6).

For all $\xi \in S^{d-1}$, we note that $f_\xi(x) = |\xi \cdot \pi^{-1}(x)|$ is a Lipschitz function on P^{d-1} with $\|f_\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\mu - M_\nu\|_\infty &= \sup_{\xi \in S^{d-1}} \left| \int f_\xi d(\mu - \nu) \right| \\ &\leq 2\|\mu - \nu\|_w \end{aligned}$$

□

3. OPERATIONS ON CURVES

In this section, we consider operations on curve that preserve the induced measure on projective space.

Theorem 6. *Given $\alpha \in AC$, there exists a right-continuous, strictly monotonic function $\tilde{\varphi} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties:*

i. For almost all s , the composition $\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |(\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi})'(s)| &= \|\alpha\|_{AC} \quad \text{and} \\ (\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi})'(s) &= \alpha'(\tilde{\varphi}(s))\tilde{\varphi}'(s). \end{aligned}$$

ii. If $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is absolutely continuous and

$$\{t \mid F'(t) \neq 0\} \subset \{t \mid \alpha'(t) \neq 0\},$$

then $F \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ is absolutely continuous. In particular $\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ is absolutely continuous.

iii. $\mu_\alpha = \mu_{\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}}$. In particular $\|\alpha\|_{AC} = \|\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}\|_{AC}$.

This (probably well-known fact) is proved in detail in the appendix.

Given a curve $\alpha \in AC$, define $\alpha^-(t) = \alpha(1 - t)$.

Definition 7. Given two curves α and β in AC , let $p = \frac{\|\alpha\|_{AC}}{\|\alpha\|_{AC} + \|\beta\|_{AC}}$, and define the *concatenation* $\alpha \oplus \beta$ by

$$\alpha \oplus \beta(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha\left(\frac{t}{p}\right), & 0 \leq t \leq p; \\ \beta\left(\frac{t-p}{1-p}\right) + \alpha(1) - \beta(0), & p \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Concatenation is associative and satisfies $\alpha \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus \alpha = \alpha$. If both α and β have constant speed, then so does their concatenation $\alpha \oplus \beta$.

Proposition 8. For any two curves $\alpha, \beta \in AC$,

- (a) $\mu_{\alpha+v} = \mu_\alpha$ for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (b) $\mu_{c\alpha} = |c|\mu_\alpha$ for any scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (c) $\mu_{\alpha^-} = \mu_\alpha$;
- (d) $\mu_{\alpha \oplus \beta} = \mu_\alpha + \mu_\beta$;
- (e) If $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is monotonic, absolutely continuous, and surjective, then

$$\mu_{\alpha \circ \varphi} = \mu_\alpha.$$

Proof. We prove these statements by proving analogous statements for the corresponding positive linear functionals $I_\alpha : C(P^{d-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by equation (3). a and b are clear. c is true by a change of variables and the fact that \hat{f} is even for all $f \in C(P^{d-1})$. The proof of e is the same as the proof that $\mu_\alpha = \mu_{\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}}$ in theorem 6.

To prove d, note that the integral of $M_{\alpha \oplus \beta}$ can be written as the sum of two integrals over the intervals $[0, p]$ and $[p, 1]$ respectively. The first integral is equal to M_α by a reparametrization. The second is equal to M_β by a translation and a reparametrization. \square

Definition 9. Let $x \in L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in AC$. Then the function $x\alpha'$ belongs to $L^1[0, 1]$, and it determines an absolutely continuous curve

$$\int_0^t x(s)\alpha'(s) ds.$$

We let $x * \alpha$ denote the constant speed reparametrization of this curve. In the special case that x is constant, then $x * \alpha = x\alpha$ (modulo translation).

Proposition 10. *Let $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ belong to $L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, and assume $|x(t)| + |y(t)| = 1$ almost everywhere. Then*

$$\mu_{x*\alpha \oplus y*\alpha} = \mu_\alpha.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{x*\alpha \oplus y*\alpha}(f) &= I_{x*\alpha}(f) + I_{y*\alpha}(f) \\ &= \int_0^1 \hat{f}(x(t)\alpha'(t)) dt + \int_0^1 \hat{f}(y(t)\alpha'(t)) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (|x(t)| + |y(t)|) \hat{f}(\alpha'(t)) dt \\ &= I_\alpha(f). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 11. Let $x(t) = p$, and $y(t) = q = 1 - p$, with both constants between 0 and 1. Then $\mu_\alpha = \mu_{p\alpha \oplus q\alpha}$.

Example 12. Let $\{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ such that $\bigcup_i U_i = [0, 1]$. And let χ_{U_i} be the indicator function on U_i :

$$\chi_{U_i}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in U_i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\sum_i |\chi_{U_i}(t)| = 1$,

$$(8) \quad \mu_{\bigoplus_i (\chi_{U_i} * \alpha)} = \mu_\alpha.$$

4. CONSTRUCTION OF A RIGHT INVERSE FROM $\mathcal{M}^+(P^{d-1})$ BACK TO AC

In this section, our goal is to show that every positive Borel measure on projective space arises as the measure μ_α associated to an AC curve α . We do this by approximating measures with discrete measures and AC curves with broken lines.

4.1. Partitions and discrete measures (notation).

Definition 13. We call a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$ *discrete* if it is a *finite* linear combination of point masses. The space of discrete measures will be denoted $\mathcal{D}(P^{d-1})$.

Definition 14. Let X be a set endowed with a metric topology. We define a *partition* \mathcal{P} of X to be a finite collection of pairwise disjoint Borel sets $\mathcal{P} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N\}$ whose union is X . A *tagged partition* \mathcal{P}^t is a collection of pairs $\mathcal{P}^t = \{(U_i, x_i)\}_i$, such that:

1. The elements U_i are Borel subsets of X which form a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{U_i\}_i$;
2. For each i , x_i is an element of U_i .

The norm of a partition is defined to be the maximum of the diameters of the sets U_i :

$$\|\mathcal{P}^t\| = \|\mathcal{P}\| = \max_i \text{diam}(U_i).$$

A partition $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} if each element of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is a subset of some element of \mathcal{P} . If the partitions are tagged, then we also require that the tags of \mathcal{P}^t be included in the set of tags of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^t$.

Proposition 15. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$ be a finite Borel measure, and let $\mathcal{P}^t = \{(U_i, x_i)\}_i$ be a tagged partition of P^{d-1} . Then*

$$\left\| \mu - \sum_i \mu(U_i) \delta_{x_i} \right\|_w < \|\mathcal{P}^t\| |\mu|(P^{d-1}).$$

In particular, the set of discrete measures, $\mathcal{D}(P^{d-1})$, is dense in the set of finite Borel measures $\mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$.

Proof. Let μ_0 denote the discrete measure being compared to μ . If $f \in C(P^{d-1})$ is Lipschitz with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f d(\mu - \mu_0) \right| &\leq \sum_i \left| \int_{U_i} f d(\mu - \mu_0) \right| \\ &= \sum_i \left| \int_{U_i} (f(y) - f(x_i)) d\mu(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_i \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \text{diam}(U_i) |\mu|(U_i) \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{P}^t\| |\mu|(P^{d-1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 16. An *ordered partition* $\mathcal{P} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ is a partition in which the sets U_i are assigned a definite order. The ordered partition $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \{V_1, \dots, V_N\}$ is an *ordered refinement* of \mathcal{P} if

1. the partition $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is an ordinary refinement of \mathcal{P} ;
2. If $i < j$ and $V_i \subset U_p$ and $V_j \subset U_q$, then $p \leq q$.

Similarly, we define partitions that are tagged and ordered, and we define the relation of ordered refinement among these as well.

4.2. Constructing the right inverse. Our first problem is that a directed line segment produces the same measure when the direction of the segment is reversed. But given a measure, we would like to associate just one curve. Therefore, for each element of projective space x , we would like to fix a representative element x^+ in S^{d-1} . We do this by fixing a subset X of the sphere which is in one to one correspondence with P^{d-1} via the projection $\pi : P^{d-1} \rightarrow S^{d-1}$.

Let \mathbb{R}^+ be the set of positive real numbers, and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ be coordinates in \mathbb{R}^d . For each $i = 1, \dots, d$, let H_i be open half space $\{y_i > 0\}$. Let X denote the set

$$(9) \quad X = S^{d-1} \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^d (\{y_i = 0, i > k\} \cap H_k).$$

Then π maps X bijectively onto P^{d-1} . For all Borel sets $U \in P^{d-1}$, let U^+ denote $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap X$, and let $U^- = -U^+$ (which is disjoint from U^+). Similarly, if $x \in P^{d-1}$, we let $x^+ = X \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}^t = \{(U_i, x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a tagged, ordered partition of P^{d-1} , and let $\alpha \in AC$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we define a function $h(\mathcal{P}, \alpha)_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the

formula

$$(10) \quad h(\mathcal{P}, \alpha)_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha'(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ U_i^+; \\ -1, & \alpha'(t) \in -\mathbb{R}^+ U_i^+; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Through these functions, we define a mapping $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}} : AC \rightarrow AC$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha) = \bigoplus_i h(\mathcal{P}, \alpha)_i * \alpha.$$

Geometrically, this operation represents a sort of surgical rearrangement of the pieces of α . For each i , we cut out the parts of α that point in directions parallel to the elements of U_i . We then normalize these pieces so that they all point in directions of $U_i^+ \subset X$, defined by (9). Then we glue them together to form $h(\mathcal{P}, \alpha)_i * \alpha$. Having done this for each i , we then concatenate these separate parts together. The resulting curve still belongs to AC , it has constant speed, and it produces the same measure in $\mathcal{M}(P^{d-1})$. The advantage of the curve $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha)$ is that there is a partition of the interval

$$0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = 1,$$

such that for almost all $t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i)$, $\alpha'(t) \in U_i^+$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \|\alpha\|_{AC} &= \|h(\mathcal{P}, \alpha)_i * \alpha\|_{AC} \\ &= \mu_{\alpha}(U_i). \end{aligned}$$

This suggests a method of finding a piecewise linear curve to approximate $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{P}^t = \{(U_i, x_i)\}$ be ordered and tagged. For each $x_i \in P^{d-1}$, we let \hat{x}_i denote the linear segment in AC :

$$\hat{x}_i : t \mapsto tx_i^+,$$

where x_i^+ is the unit vector representative of x_i in the set X .

Define $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t} : AC \rightarrow AC$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\alpha) &= \bigoplus_i \|h(\mathcal{P}^t, \alpha)_i * \alpha\|_{AC} \hat{x}_i \\ &= \bigoplus_i \mu_{\alpha}(U_i) \hat{x}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Elements of the image of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t}$ are broken lines. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ be an ordered refinement of \mathcal{P} . Then for almost all t , there exists a set U_i in \mathcal{P} such that each of the vectors $(\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}(\alpha))'(t)$, $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha))'(t)$, and $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\alpha))'(t)$ lies inside $\|\alpha\|_{AC} U_i^+$. Consequently, we have the following inequalities:

$$(11) \quad \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\alpha) - \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\alpha)\|_{AC} \leq \|\mathcal{P}^t\| \|\alpha\|_{AC};$$

$$(12) \quad \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\alpha) - \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^t}(\alpha)\|_{AC} \leq \|\mathcal{P}^t\| \|\alpha\|_{AC};$$

Given a tagged partition \mathcal{P}^t , we define $G_{\mathcal{P}^t} : \mathcal{M}(P^{d-1}) \rightarrow AC$ by

$$G_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\mu) = \bigoplus_i \mu(U_i) \hat{x}_i.$$

The two definitions of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t}$ match insofar as $G_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\alpha) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}^t}(\mu_{\alpha})$.

Theorem 17. *The correspondence $\alpha \mapsto \mu_\alpha$ is surjective onto $\mathcal{M}^+(P^{d-1})$, the set of positive Borel measures.*

Proof. Fix a positive measure μ , and let \mathcal{P}_k^t be a sequence of ordered, tagged partitions of P^{d-1} such that

1. For each k , \mathcal{P}_{k+1}^t is an ordered refinement of \mathcal{P}_k^t ;
2. $\|\mathcal{P}_k^t\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\alpha_k = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_k^t}(\mu)$. For all k , α_k has constant speed equal to $\mu(P^{d-1})$. If $k \leq l$, then for almost all t , there is a common $U \in \mathcal{P}_k^t$ such that $\alpha'_k(t)$ and $\alpha'_l(t)$ both lie inside $\mu(P^{d-1})U^+$. Therefore, for almost all t ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha'_k(t) - \alpha'_l(t)| &\leq \mu(P^{d-1})\text{diam}(U) \\ &\leq \mu(P^{d-1})\|\mathcal{P}_k^t\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|\alpha_k - \alpha_l\|_{AC} \leq \|\mathcal{P}_k^t\| \mu(P^{d-1})$. Hence α_k is a Cauchy sequence and approaches a limit α . If we let $\mu_k = \mu_{\alpha_k}$, then by the continuity inequality (6), $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu_\alpha$. If we let $\{U_i\}$ denote the Borel sets in the partition \mathcal{P}_k , then

$$\mu_k = \sum_i \mu(U_i) \delta_{x_i}.$$

By Proposition 15, $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$. Hence $\mu_\alpha = \mu$. \square

We note that the construction of α from μ is a right inverse of the map that sends $\alpha \mapsto \mu_\alpha$.

APPENDIX A. ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS CURVES HAVE CONSTANT SPEED REPARAMETRIZATIONS

The construction of the reparametrization is somewhat complicated because the speed of the given curve could be zero on a set of positive measure. The following theorem summarizes the important conclusions.

Theorem A. *Given $\alpha \in AC$, there exists a right-continuous, strictly monotonic function $\tilde{\varphi} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties:*

- i. *If $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is absolutely continuous and*

$$(13) \quad \{t \mid F'(t) \neq 0\} \subset \{t \mid \alpha'(t) \neq 0\},$$

then $F \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ is absolutely continuous. In particular $\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ is absolutely continuous.

- ii. *The chain rule is satisfied almost everywhere:*

$$(\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi})'(s) = \alpha'(\tilde{\varphi}(s))\tilde{\varphi}'(s).$$

Moreover, $|(\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi})'(s)| = \|\alpha\|_{AC}$ almost everywhere.

- iii. *$\mu_\alpha = \mu_{\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}}$. In particular $\|\alpha\|_{AC} = \|\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}\|_{AC}$.*

For this construction, Lebesgue measure is denoted by λ or, in an integral, by dx , dt , ds etc.. Characteristic functions, or indicator functions, shall be denoted by χ . For example, if $E \subset [0, 1]$,

$$\chi_E(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in E; \\ 0, & t \notin E. \end{cases}$$

Lemma. *Let $E \subset [0, 1]$ be a measurable set. There exists a strictly monotonic, right-continuous function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which, almost everywhere, satisfies*

$$\chi_E(\varphi(s))\varphi'(s) = \lambda(E).$$

Proof. If $\lambda(E) = 0$, then $\varphi(s) = s$ satisfies the conditions. Assume $\lambda(E) > 0$.

We define a function $\psi_E : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$(14) \quad \psi_E(t) = \frac{\lambda([0, t] \cap E)}{\lambda(E)}.$$

The function ψ_E is the cumulative distribution function of the measure $\frac{1}{\lambda(E)}\chi_E(t)dt$. Therefore, it is differentiable almost everywhere with derivative $\psi'_E = \frac{\chi_E}{\lambda(E)}$. Also, it is monotonic and Lipschitz continuous with constant $\frac{1}{\lambda(E)}$. At the endpoints, we have $\psi_E(0) = 0$ and $\psi_E(1) = 1$. In particular ψ_E is surjective. Hence, the following function $\varphi_E : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is well-defined:

$$(15) \quad \varphi_E(s) = \sup\{t \mid \psi_E(t) = s\}.$$

First, we prove that φ_E is strictly monotonic. The function ψ_E is continuous and monotonic. Therefore, for all $s \in [0, 1]$, $\psi_E^{-1}(s)$ is a closed interval $[a_s, b_s]$, with $b_s = \varphi_E(s)$ by (15). If $s_1 < s_2$, then $b_{s_1} < a_{s_2}$ by the monotonicity of ψ_E . $b_{s_1} = \varphi_E(s_1)$. And $a_{s_2} \leq b_{s_2} = \varphi_E(s_2)$. Hence,

$$\varphi_E(s_1) < \varphi_E(s_2).$$

For all s , $\psi_E(\varphi_E(s)) = s$. Since ψ_E is absolutely continuous and φ_E is monotonic, the chain rule is valid almost everywhere (Corollary 3.50, citeLeoni).

$$1 = (\psi_E \circ \varphi_E)'(s) = \psi'_E(\varphi_E(s))\varphi'_E(s) = \frac{\chi_E(\varphi_E(s))}{\lambda(E)}\varphi'_E(s).$$

Finally, we prove right-continuity. $\varphi_E(1) = 1$, so for $s < 1$, $\varphi_E(s) < 1$. Let $0 \leq s < 1$. We must prove that if $0 < \epsilon < 1 - \varphi_E(s)$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_E(s + \delta) < \varphi_E(s) + \epsilon.$$

By (15) and the monotonicity of ψ_E ,

$$s = \psi_E(\varphi_E(s)) < \psi_E(\varphi_E(s) + \epsilon).$$

Define t_1 by the equation:

$$(16) \quad t_1 = \inf \psi_E^{-1}(\psi_E(\varphi_E(s) + \epsilon))$$

By (15) and the monotonicity of ψ_E , $\varphi_E(s) < t_1$. Therefore, let t_0 be any point such that

$$\varphi_E(s) < t_0 < t_1.$$

Apply ψ_E to obtain

$$s = \psi_E(\varphi_E(s)) < \psi_E(t_0) < \psi_E(t_1) = \psi_E(\varphi_E(s) + \epsilon).$$

The inequalities are strict by (15) and (16) respectively.

Let $\delta = \psi_E(t_0) - s$. Since φ_E is strictly increasing,

$$\varphi_E(s) < \varphi_E\psi_E(t_0) < t_1 \leq \varphi_E(s) + \epsilon.$$

□

Proof of Theorem A. Given a curve, $\alpha \in AC$, its velocity, α' , could have two possible defects.

1. It could be zero on a set of positive measure.
2. Its speed, $|\alpha'(t)|$, could vary with t .

We correct these problems one at a time. Let E be the set on which $\alpha' \neq 0$.

$$E = \{t \mid \alpha'(t) \neq 0\}.$$

Let $\psi = \psi_E$ and $\varphi = \varphi_E$ be the functions defined in the lemma.

Since the curve α is absolutely continuous and the function φ is monotonic, their composition $\alpha \circ \varphi$ is differentiable almost everywhere and satisfies the chain rule (Corollary 3.50, [2]). Let

$$\tilde{E} = \{s \mid (\alpha \circ \varphi)'(s) = 0\}.$$

We apply the chain rule and note that

$$\alpha'(\varphi(s))\varphi'(s) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \chi_E(\varphi(s))\varphi'(s) = 0.$$

According to the lemma, the right hand side is 0 at most on a set of measure 0.

Next we prove that $\alpha \circ \varphi \in AC$. We start by describing the discontinuities of φ .

The function φ has bounded variation. Consequently it can have at most countably many discontinuities which must all be jump discontinuities. As a right inverse of ψ , the jumps correspond to the intervals on which $\psi(t)$ is flat. These are, in other words, the intervals which have zero measure with respect to $\chi_E(t) dt$. Denote these intervals $I_k \subset [0, 1]$, and let l_k denote their lengths $\lambda(I_k)$. We let $s_k = \psi(I_k)$ denote the corresponding point in the domain of φ at which the jump discontinuity occurs. By right-continuity,

$$\varphi(s_k) - \varphi(s_k^-) = l_k.$$

Also,

$$I_k = [\varphi(s_k^-), \varphi(s_k)].$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\alpha(\varphi(s_k)) - \alpha(\varphi(s_k^-)) = \int_{I_k} \alpha'(t) dt.$$

The set $I_k \cap E$ has measure 0, so the right hand integral equals 0. We conclude that $\alpha \circ \varphi$ is continuous.

The curve α is absolutely continuous. By definition, if $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |\alpha(d_i) - \alpha(c_i)| < \epsilon$$

for any finite collection of pairwise disjoint intervals $(c_i, d_i) \subset [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (d_i - c_i) < \delta.$$

Now let $(a_i, b_i) \subset [0, 1]$ be pairwise disjoint intervals such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < \frac{1}{2}\delta.$$

We shall prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |\alpha(\varphi(b_i)) - \alpha(\varphi(a_i))| < \epsilon.$$

The sum of the jump discontinuities of φ cannot be greater than 1:

$$\sum l_k < 1.$$

It follows that for sufficiently large M ,

$$\sum_{k \geq M} l_k < \frac{1}{2}\delta.$$

If $1 \leq k \leq M-1$ and the discontinuity s_k lies inside the interval (a_i, b_i) , we subdivide the interval into two new intervals (a_i, s_k) and (s_k, b_i) . Repeating this process at most $M-1$ times, we obtain a new collection of disjoint intervals (A_i, B_i) in which the jump discontinuities $s_k : 1 \leq k \leq M-1$ can only occur at the endpoints. We let $\varphi(B_i^-)$ and $\varphi(A_i^+)$ respectively denote the left hand and right hand limits. By right-continuity, $\varphi(A_i^+) = \varphi(A_i)$.

By the lemma, $\|\varphi'\|_\infty = \lambda(E) \leq 1$. It follows that

$$\sum_i \varphi(B_i^-) - \varphi(A_i) \leq \sum_i (b_i - a_i) + \sum_{k \geq M} l_k < \delta.$$

Since φ is strictly monotonic, the intervals $(\varphi(A_i), \varphi(B_i^-))$ are pairwise disjoint. By the absolute continuity of α , we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1} |\alpha(\varphi(B_i^-)) - \alpha(\varphi(A_i))| < \epsilon.$$

Since $\alpha \circ \varphi$ is continuous, $\alpha(\varphi(B_i^-)) = \alpha(\varphi(B_i))$. By the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1} |\alpha(\varphi(b_i)) - \alpha(\varphi(a_i))| < \epsilon.$$

The arguments above remain valid if we replace α with any absolutely continuous function F that satisfies (13). Hence, for any such F , $F \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ is absolutely continuous. This applies, in particular, to the function

$$\ell(t) = \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|_{AC}} \int_0^t |\alpha'(\tau)| d\tau.$$

We have adjusted the parametrization of the curve α so that its speed is 0 on, at most, a set of measure 0. To finish the proof, we must show that we can further reparametrize to normalize the speed.

The function $\ell \circ \varphi$ is absolutely continuous, monotonic, and $\{s \mid (\ell \circ \varphi)'(s) = 0\}$ has measure 0. Therefore the inverse function

$$(\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

is also absolutely continuous and monotonic ([2]). Define

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ (\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1}.$$

Both $\alpha \circ \varphi$ and $(\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1}$ are absolutely continuous and the latter is monotonic. Therefore, their composition

$$(\alpha \circ \varphi) \circ (\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1} = \alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}$$

is absolutely continuous. Similarly, $F \circ \tilde{\varphi}$, and $\ell \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ are absolutely continuous. Thus we have proved the first conclusion, i.

If $s = (\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1}(q)$, the chain rule implies

$$\frac{d}{dq}(\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1}(q) = \frac{\|\alpha\|_{AC}}{|(\alpha \circ \varphi)'(s)|}.$$

Therefore,

$$|(\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi})'(q)| = |(\alpha \circ \varphi)'(s)| \frac{d}{dq}(\ell \circ \varphi)^{-1}(q) = \|\alpha\|_{AC}.$$

This proves the second statement, ii.

To prove the final statement, let f be a continuous function on P^{d-1} . Define $F(t)$ by

$$F(t) = \int_0^t \hat{f}(\alpha'(q)) dq.$$

Then the inclusion (13) is satisfied which implies that $F(\tilde{\varphi}(s))$ is absolutely continuous. By Theorem 3.54 ([2]), this justifies the following change of variables:

$$(17) \quad \int_0^{\tilde{\varphi}(s)} \hat{f}(\alpha'(q)) dq = \int_0^s \hat{f}(\alpha'(\tilde{\varphi}(s))) \tilde{\varphi}'(s) ds$$

$$(18) \quad = \int_0^s \hat{f}((\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi})'(s)) ds.$$

Setting $s = 1$, we conclude $\int f d\mu_\alpha = \int f d\mu_{\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}}$ for all $f \in C(P^{d-1})$. Letting $f = 1$, we find that $\|\alpha\|_{AC} = \|\alpha \circ \tilde{\varphi}\|_{AC}$. \square

Remark 1. The reader might wonder why the construction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ proceeded in two steps. Indeed, one could directly define $\tilde{\varphi}$ as the right inverse of ℓ :

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sup\{t \mid \ell(t) = s\}.$$

Since ℓ and ψ have many of the same properties, $\tilde{\varphi}$ shares many key properties with φ . The problem with this direct approach is in the proof of the absolute continuity of $\alpha \circ \varphi$. We used the fact that $\|\varphi'\|_\infty \leq 1$. In contrast $\tilde{\varphi}'$ need not belong to L^∞ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Ethan D. Bolker, *A class of convex bodies*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **145** (1969), 323–345.
- [2] G. Leoni, *A first course in sobolev spaces*, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [3] Hoffman L.M., *Estimating an even spherical measure from its sine transform*, Appl. Math. **54** (2009), 67–78.
- [4] A.K. Louis, M. Riplinger, M. Spiess, and Spodarev E., *Inversion algorithms for the spherical radon and cosine transform*, Inverse Problems **27**, no. 3.
- [5] Kiderlen M. and Pfrang A., *Algorithms to estimate the rose of directions of a spatial fiber system*, J. Microsc. **219** (2005), 50–60.
- [6] Gardner R., Kiderlen M., and Milanfar P., *Convergence of algorithms for reconstructing convex bodies and directional measures*, Ann. Stat. **34** (2006), 1331–74.
- [7] B. Rubin, *Inversion formulas for the spherical radon transform and the generalized cosine transform*, Advances in Applied Mathematics **29** (2002), 471–497.
- [8] R. Schneider, *Zur einem problem von shephard uber die projectionen konvexer korper*, Math. Z. **101** (1967), 71–82.